Exhibit B

1 **7ANFMELC** 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 ----X 2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 3 3 07 CR 907 v. 4 4 JOHN MELICHAREK, et al, 5 5 Defendants. 6 -----X 6 7 New York, N.Y. 7 October 23, 2007 8 2:30 p.m. 8 Before: 9 9 HON. SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN, 10 10 District Judge 11 11 **APPEARANCES** 12 12 MICHAEL J. GARCIA 13 United States Attorney for the 13 Southern District of New York BENJAMIN GRUENSTEIN 14 14 Assistant United States Attorney 15 15 PAUL J. McALLISTER 16 Attorney for Defendant Nicosia 16 17 JOHN N. MITCHELL 17 Attorney for Defendant Gjelaj 18 18 STEVEN R. KARTAGENER 19 Attorney for Defendant Celaj 19 20 JOHN MARINGOLO 20 Attorney for Defendant Melacharek 21 21 SHERYL REICH 22 **GERALD LEFCOURT** 22 Attorney for Defendant Pipolo **ALAN NELSON**

23 23 24 Attorney for Defendant Iuni 24

Also present: John Laraia, Special Agent SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

7ANFMELC

1 that's why people flee.

> THE COURT: No, there are lots of other risks of flight that I've heard over the years, but the one you're

concerned about is he's facing heavy time. 4 MR. GRUENSTEIN: Right. As far as I know, he's a 5

6 citizen ---

2

3

7

10

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: That's what I know. Sometimes there are people we're told their family ties are abroad. Okay, I got the risk of flight picture, now let's turn to danger of community.

11 MR. MARINGOLO: Your Honor, I submit to you that

12 Mr. Melicharek is absolutely not a danger to the community.

Your Honor, we're aware of the crimes charged in this 13

indictment, but those crimes do not determine whether or not my 14

client is a danger to the community. Also the indictment 15

alleges that my client is an associate of organized crime and

that does not automatically render a defendant dangerous, as 17

cited in our brief. With that said, it should be noted, your

19 Honor, it's been over two and a half years since the

allegations in this indictment and it's been over four years

since the allegations of this so-called Hobbs Act robbery.

Also the Court should be aware that Mr. Melicharek's co-defendant is charged with the same robberies and he was granted bail at the arraignment. The Court should be aware that pretrial services determined in their report my client is SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

7ANFMELC

1

2

3

5

6

7

11

12

16

a candidate for bail.

THE COURT: I saw that, too, that's even with the two mistakes. It says there are conditions that could be set to reasonably assure the defendant's return to court. Why is this defendant more risky than Mr. Pipolo?

MR. GRUENSTEIN: First of all, I do want to address the issue of the Colombia. I do see it on the first page, where Mr. Melicharek reports that he's been to several South American countries, Colombia and Venezuela, and he does say 10 that his uncle and cousin live in Colombia, so I'm not sure why -- I don't think it's a mistake. He does seem to be pretty much throughout the pretrial services report.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MR. GRUENSTEIN: But I would like to address the issue of Mr. Pipolo. 15

THE COURT: I just asked you that.

MR. GRUENSTEIN: Mr. Pipolo is charged, I believe it's 17 in one of these robberies -- is it one or both? In one of the 18 robberies and what he's charged with basically is he provided 19 the guns for the robberies. He is not of the same level of 20

- involvement as Mr. Melicharek. Mr. Pipolo is a businessman. 21
- He, while he is carried as a Genovese associate, his 22
- involvement in this case is far less than Mr. Melicharek, and
- the 924(c) charge that he faces, it's a seven-year charge, it's 24
- substantial, but it's not the 32-year charge that

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Mr. Melicharek faces. Also, Mr. Melicharek is charged in all four crimes that are alleged in this indictment. Mr. Pipolo is

3 charged in one.

4

5

7

18

19

20

25

I would like the opportunity to go through and describe the crimes to your Honor, because I think a description of that would demonstrate this defendant's danger to the community.

THE COURT: Well, of course, your adversary in the 8 brief put in a very interesting paragraph where they charted 9 10 out all the cases, the recent cases in the organized crime area where judges, of course, nonetheless granted bail with things 11 12 like murder charges and other violent charges, and you saw the list just as I saw the list; the alleged boss of the Genovese 13 14 family, the alleged consigliere of the Bonano family, the alleged Genovese acting underboss, soldiers, etc., etc. You saw pages 6 and 7 was certainly a dramatic list of people who have been admitted to bail. 17

MR. GRUENSTEIN: That's correct, your Honor. I'm familiar with some of those cases, and, I mean, there are cases on both sides.

21 THE COURT: Of course.

22 MR. GRUENSTEIN: And I think it's hard to go through 23 with a small tidbit as to what someone is charged with --

24 THE COURT: These aren't tidbits. These are no

people, anybody who reads the newspaper recognizes these names, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Page 6 of 12

7ANFMELC

1 as you know.

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

17 18

19

20

MR. GRUENSTEIN: As far as what they're charged with, what the proof is against them.

THE COURT: I can't talk about the proof, I can only talk about the charges. These were charges, as you know, of murder and kidnapping and murder and drug distribution and the rest of it. There's no doubt about it. I recall a good number of these cases, which I would think you do, too.

MR. GRUENSTEIN: I certainly know some of them, your Honor.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GRUENSTEIN: And I know there are judges in this district who have repeatedly said that someone's, the fact that someone is a made member of an organized crime family is a strong -- is strong evidence that they pose a danger to the community. Because being in an organized crime family, they've dedicated themselves to committing, to being part of a life of crime.

Now, Mr. Melicharek, who is not Italian, is not a made member of the Genovese crime family, but it's our information, and we'll present this at trial, that he's a very high-ranking associate, as high ranking as any non-Italian associate can be. He's very close with Angelo Prisco, who is a captain in the Genovese crime family. We have recorded conversations about discussions of Mr. Melicharek kicking money up to Angelo SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

21

1	Prisco. These crimes, the money that came from these crimes
2	went directly to Angelo Prisco.
3	I do want to talk about the charges here and the facts
4	of those cases, because this is a 924(c) case. There is a
5	presumption here, contrary to what Mr. Maringolo says, there is
6	a presumption there are no conditions and that's a presumption
7	set by Section 3142. Now, of course, the Court has to consider
8	it, but I think our starting point is there are no conditions.
9	Now, if Mr. Maringolo can rebut the presumption
10	THE COURT: He didn't even think there was one.
11	MR. MARINGOLO: To us there isn't.
12	THE COURT: What do you mean to us? The law is the
13	law.
14	MR. MARINGOLO: Your Honor, he's presumed innocent as
15	he sits here.
16	THE COURT: No, no, no. That's not what presumption
17	is, you know that. There are certain charges that create a
18	presumption; that is not a condition of bail. There is a
19	presumption in this case.
20	MR. MARINGOLO: In defense to that, your Honor, the
21	FBI has made numerous visits to Mr. Melicharek over the last
22	two and a half years. These Hobbs Act robberies are from
23	September 28, 2003 and October 19, 2003, some four years ago.
24	We're not here to try the case your Honor
25	THE COURT: I know that. But the weight of the
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1

2

3

4

19

20

21

2223

2425

organized crime kicked up \$1,500 of his portion to

Mr. Melicharek. That's the first charge.

So despite what Mr. Maringolo says about all the kind acts he does in his community, this is certainly an egregious act he committed in his community.

Now, September of 2003. This is the robbery that
Mr. Maringolo said he was at his son's football game. We don't
dispute he was at his son's football game. He very well may
have been. That's not necessarily the information that we

have, but the point is here that Mr. Melicharek was involved in setting up the robbery. He didn't actually commit the robbery.

12 He set up the robbery because he knew that the owner of the

13 residence was a business owner. He knew that the owner of the

14 residence had engaged in several large cash transactions in the

last month, one of which was 100,000, the other of which was

16 50,000. Mr. Melicharek knew that man and it was precisely

because he knew the man that he couldn't be at the robbery. So if he was at his football gave it doesn't undermine the proof

if he was at his football gave it doesn't undermine the proof in that count.

Mr. Melicharek learned where the safe was in the house, where the valuable coins were in the house and where the guns were in the house. He conducted surveillance. He then hired three people who were capable of committing violent acts, three associates of organized crime, again, three Albanians who

did the job with Mr. Memoli. The family was at home, they were SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

7ANFMELC

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

- l sleeping at the time. These individuals who went into the
- 2 house, they tied up the victim and another person, they
- 3 handcuffed them, they used duct tape. Mr. Memoli had a gun, he
- 4 pistol whipped one of the victims when the individual didn't
- 5 open the safe. Eventually they took \$80,000, they took
- 6 jewelry, they took guns and they took the coin collection. The
- 7 guns were ultimately recovered from Mr. Memoli's residence and
- 8 that's why Mr. Memoli has been in custody for the last four
- 9 years approximately serving a fifteen-year sentence for the

10 guns that were recovered in his house.

Again, the case is strong. We have recordings of co-conspirators who were involved in this robbery discussing the break-in. We have the victims who are ready to testify.

Again, this is another egregious act towards the community, because Mr. Melicharek knew this individual. He knew that this individual was wealthy, he knew he was a business owner, and precisely for that reason, he targeted him to steal his money.

Third, we have, this is counts three and four, as well as the gun counts in count five. We have October of 2003 a robbery in Orange County. Again, Mr. Melicharek knew of this individual. Who was a somewhat well-known individual. He and another co-conspirator thought, well, he's well known, he has a cash business, he probably has cash in the house. So they targeted his house to rob him. This was the robbery where SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

7ANFMELC

7

8

- 1 Mr. Pipolo provided the guns. Mr. Melicharek was the one who
- 2 pulled the crew together, including the three Albanian men,
- 3 including Mr. Celaj, Mr. Gjelaj and another individual and
- 4 Mr. Melicharek's involvement again was that he was the driver.
- 5 He was not actually inside the house.

6 Again, the person in the house was tied up with duct

tape. A fight broke out, and eventually the individuals left.

Again, the proof in this robbery is very strong. We

9 have the victim who is ready to testify. We have cooperating

10 witnesses. We have cell site records showing various

11 co-conspirators in the area at the time of the robbery.

12 Again, another instance where Mr. Melicharek targeted

13 a member of the community only for the reason that that person

- 14 was wealthy, to take that person's money. Fourth, again, very
- 15 strong count. This is the count only in which Mr. Melicharek
- 16 was charged. This is an undercover sting where a cooperating
- 17 witness told Melicharek about stolen goods that he had, a coin
- 18 collection that was worth approximately \$17,000.
- 19 Mr. Melicharek, who was interested in engaging in any criminal
- 20 activity that was presented to him, he was part of the
- 21 conspiracy to fence, to sell this stolen property and he did.
- 22 Again, it's a stong, a very strong count. We have the
- 23 cooperating witness, and these conversations are all recorded.
- 24 There's really, there's not much to that count that's left to
- 25 the imagination.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

4

5

6

7

14

22

point to me. That is while he has a job waiting for him at the 77 Hudson Street location, because assignments to work come from the iron workers union, he might well be able to acquire employment in Putnam County, or an adjacent --

THE COURT: Then he'll make that new circumstance known to the court if and when it occurs.

MR. NELSON: Thank you, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: So this defendant will not be released 9 until all the conditions are met. The brother, sister and father are here today, they can sign a bond before the 10 11 magistrate but he won't be released until, Mr. Gruenstein, you're satisfied that all the paperwork, the investigation of 12 13 the house and all of that and the mother.

MR. NELSON: Okay.

15 THE COURT: So we're finished with that. So that leaves Mr. Melicharek. I have been giving a good deal of 16 thought to that bail application and I'm not satisfied, not 17 satisfied that the bail package being proffered is sufficient 18 to assure this defendant's return to Court, which is the test. 19 20 That's the bottom line. That's the test, and at this time bail 21 is denied.

MR. MARINGOLO: Thank you, your Honor.

23 THE COURT: All right. Now are we done? I think we

24 are. Okay, thank you.

25 (Adjourned)

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.